IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1st Named Inventor: ADLER, Glenn

Title: Display Monitor Has Stand-Alone Mode and PC Peripheral Mode

Docket No.: US01 8114

Application No.:

09/919661 Conf.: 4666

Art Unit:

2872

Date Filed:

07/31/2001

Examiner:

OCT 2 1 2003

Mail Stop DD

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER

Sir:

Applicant(s) calls to the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office a Search Report issued abroad in reference to a corresponding foreign application. A copy of the Search Report dated 08/28/2003 is attached.

An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.56 is not being filed because the Search Report indicates only "A" references, which the Search Report states are "documents defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance". The enclosed Search Report is not intended to be construed as an admission by the Applicant(s) that any of the references cited therein is material.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Horgan, Reg. No. 40,394

Philips Electronics North America Corp.

1109 McKay Drive, M/S-41SJ

San Jose, California 95131

(408) 474-9072

Encl. Search Report

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that on the date indicated below this correspondence is being faxed to or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Putents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA: 22313-1450".

16.20.2000

(Signature) (Name)

Daniel L. Michalek

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

11/28/03 A

From the INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTHOR	2ITY

To: INTERNATIONAAL OCTROOIBUREAU B.V. Attn. Groenendaal, A.W.M. Prof. Holstlaan 6 NL-5656 AA Eindhoven NETHERLANDS

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

2 a AUG ZUU.

i	F	ie.	<
ν	C	*-	

Date of mailing (day/month/yella)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PHUS018114W0

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03124

International filing date (day/month/year)

23/07/2002

Appilcant

K

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

The applicant is hereby notified that the International Search Report has been established and is transmitted herewith. Filling of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filling such amendments is normally 2 months from the data of transmittal of the International Search Report, however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 12:11 Geneva 20. Switzerland Fascimie No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In ordecision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau in the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the completion of the textricial preparations for international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filled if the applicant wishes to postgone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for								
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report, however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 7. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. 8. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: The protest together with the declasion thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postgone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the priority into the national phase until 30 months from the p	1	. [Y]	The appli	icant is hereby no	atified that the International Search Report has been established and is transmitted herewith.			
When? The time limit for filling such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report, however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20. Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, we applicant must perform the preceded acts for entry into the national phase.	•	بها.	Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international Application (see Rule 46):					
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20. Switzerland Fasclmile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or positione publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for International preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later).			When?		was a second to the data of transmittal of the			
 The amplicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for International publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase with both effort in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the 			Where?	Directly to the	34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20. Switzerland			
 The amplicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for International publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase with both effort in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the 			For moi	re detailed instr	uctions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.			
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase within 19 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the	:	2. 🗀	The app Article 1	olicant is hereby to 17(2)(a) to that ef	notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under tect is transmitted herewith.			
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase within 19 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the		з. Г	With re	gard to the prof	est against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the lift the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the priority claim, must reach the international publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase.		<u></u>						
Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application, or of the lift the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the priority claim, must reach the International publication. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase.			n	o decision has be	en made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.			
Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the international polication, or of the if the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the if the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application. Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, in applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase within 20 months from the priority date, in applicant must perform the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the	l	4. Fu	rther acti	ion(s): The ap	plicant is reminded of the following:			
Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant within 19 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the		S	ortly after if the appli priority cla	amendments and statement under Article 19: cant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filling such amendments is normally 2 months from the data of transmittal of the International Search Report, however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzertand Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 e detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Ilicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under 7(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. gard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: a protest together with the declaion thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the epilicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices, of decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. On(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. Sometimal preparations for International publication. On the technical preparations for International preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant on the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant protection the priority date, in a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant protection the priority date (in some Offices even later).				
Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the transfer priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acits for entry into the date.		. w	ithin 19 m	onths from the p	priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant in the applicant in the priority date (in some Offices even later).			
		W	fithin 20 m	onths from the p	priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the trational priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the trational priority date, the applicant must be act and the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the			

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Jacinta Reddy

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmitted of Representation, where applicable, tem 5 below. ACTION		(PC) Atticle to the	of Transmittal of International Search Report
ACTION Emerational application No. Intermational experimentary (Earnest) Principly Date (day/month/year) (CT/IB 02/03124 23/07/2002 31/07/2001 ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. This intermational Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the obtained to the international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the obtained to the international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the international Search Report consists of a total of	anticortic or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER See Notification of	20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International policitation No. International thing data (day/monthly/ear) (Eartierit) Priority Julie (automatics)		ACTION	
### PARTICLE STATE OF THE PRICE	HUS018114W0	International fiting date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/months)
ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. This Intermational Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This intermational Search Report consists of a total of	temational application No.		31/07/2001
ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. This international Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant seconding to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the international Buleau. This international Search Report consists of a total of	CT/IR 02/03124	23/07/2002	31707124
This International Search Report has been propared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of		· ·	
This International Sazron Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the international Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of			
This International Sazron Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the international Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	CONTINUE THE PHILIPS FLECT	TRONICS N.V.	
This international Search Report consists of a total of			
This international Search Report consists of a total of	This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	en prepared by this International Searching Au transmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the International application in the language, which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application (unlished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been rumished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished unless that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title,			
1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the International application in the language, which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application (unlished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been rumished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished unless that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title,	This International Search Report consis	ets of a total of <u>U3</u> sneets.	als report.
With regard to the language, the international search was carried out or the hitemational application (amished to this hanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application (which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.) The international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence isting: With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international application in written form. International in the international application in written form. International displacement in the international application in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International application as filed has been furnished. With regard to the title,	It is also accompanied	by a copy of each prior art document cited in v	
With regard to the language, the international search was carried out or the hitemational application (amished to this hanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application (which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.) The international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence isting: With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international application in written form. International in the international application in written form. International displacement in the international application in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International application as filed has been furnished. With regard to the title,			
With regard to the language, the international search was carried out or the hitemational application (amished to this hanguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application (which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.) The international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence isting: With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international application in written form. International in the international application in written form. International displacement in the international application in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. International application as filed has been furnished. With regard to the title,	1. Basis of the report		posts of the international application in the
the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application further (Fule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid eequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. time to the pass of the sequence produce in the international application in computer readable form. time to the subsequently to this Authority in original form. time the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been trumished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND-ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III The applicant within one month from the date of malling of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. None of the figures.		he international search was carried out on the	pasia di dia manuara
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the International application, the international epairch was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the International application in written form. filed together with the International application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as tiled has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.			
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the International application, the international epairch was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the International application in written form. filed together with the International application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as tiled has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.	the international searc	h was carried out on the basis of a translation (of the International application
contained in the International application in written form. filled together with the International application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the discinsure in the international application as filled has been furnished. the statement that the Internation recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The statement that the Internation recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Unity of Invention is Identify (see Box I). Unity of Invention is Identify (see Box II). With regard to the title,	Authority (Rule 23.1(b))).	international application, the international search
contained in the International application in written form. filled together with the International application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the discinsure in the international application as filled has been furnished. the statement that the Internation recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The statement that the Internation recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Unity of Invention is Identify (see Box I). Unity of Invention is Identify (see Box II). With regard to the title,	h With regard to any nucleotide	and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the	A li their is an annual in the interest of the
filed together with the International application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in owntuen form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title,			·
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER S. With regard to the abstract. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Source of the figures. None of the figures. Decause this figure better characterizes the Invention.	contained in the intern	auonai application in computer readable	form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND-ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Sa suggested by the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	filed together with the	International application in company	
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go be yellow to international application as filled has been furnished. I the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER S. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Sa suggested by the applicant, because the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	furnished subsequent	ty to this Authority in written idilli.	
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go be yellow to international application as filled has been furnished. I the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER S. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Sa suggested by the applicant, because the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	fumished subsequent	ty to this Authority in computer readble totti.	to a see howard the disclosure in the
the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the furnished 2.	the statement that the	subsequently furnished written sequence light	ng does not go beyond the day
3. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to the figures. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant, because the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.	the statement that the	e Information recorded in computer readable to	rm is identical to the written sequence listing has been
3. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to the figures. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant, because the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.	Cortain claims were	found unsearchable (See Box I).	
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. It the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of malling of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant, because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	- Alexanting is	e lacking (see Box II).	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract. X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure. Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.	3. Unity of invention in	100mmB (00000)	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract. X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure. Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.			
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INPUT MEANS WHICH OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. Decause the applicant tailed to suggest a figure. Decause this figure better characterizes the invention.	4. With regard to the title,	II as a madianet	
DISPLAY DEVICE HAVING STAND—ALONE OPERATIONAL MODE AND INFO OTHERWISE CONTROLS HOST COMPUTER 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure, because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	the text is approved	as submitted by the applicant.	
5. With regard to the abstract, \[\begin{align*} \text{ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.} \\ \text{ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. \text{ None of the figures.} \] Above the applicant failed to suggest a figure. \text{ because the applicant failed to suggest the Invention.} \]	T the text has been es	tablished by this Authority to read as follows.	MODE AND INDIT MEANS WHICH
5. With regard to the abstract, \[\begin{align*} \text{ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.} \\ \text{ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. \text{ None of the figures.} \] Above the applicant failed to suggest a figure. \text{ because the applicant failed to suggest the Invention.} \]	DISPLAY DEVICE HAVE	ING STAND-ALONE OPERATIONAL	MODE AND INTO
5. With regard to the abstract, 1. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, this Authority, within one month from the date of malling of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1. None of the figures. 1. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure. 1. Decause this figure better characterizes the Invention.	OTHERWISE CONTROL	S HOST COMPUTER	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. IX as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	· [
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. IX as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	a Milly roughed to the sheltract.		
the text has been established, according to Hute 38.2(0), by the same that the text has been established, according to Hute 38.2(0), by the same that comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	5. With legal to the appropri	as submitted by the applicant	The sealing of the se
6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. X as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	the text has been e	stablished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this A	uthority as it appears in BOX III. The applicant has to this Authority.
as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	A The Source of the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figure No.	 -
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. because this figure better characterizes the invention.	6. The rights of the districts	e sociicant	None of the figures.
because this figure better characterizes the Invention.	as suggested by th	a approvers	
	because the applic	AUT Iding to analysis the processor	
TOTAL CANADA (First sheet) (July 1998)	because this figure	PORTEL CUSTACIBITIES AND HINGHAGH.	
		1998)	

7/2003	11:34	408-4749082	PSUC	CIP		•	PAGE	05/0
	, ~	INTERNATIONAL	SEARCH REPO	RT _	International App			·
CLASSIF	GUGF 3	SUBJECT MATTER 033 G06F3/023	G06F3/14					
	- I-nometional	Patent Classification (IPC) or to b	oth national classification and	IPC				-
			_					\neg
IPC 7	GUOF	earched (classification system ic						
Documenta	stion searched	other than minimum documentati	on to the extent that such do	cuments are inc	huded in the fields	searched		
		sulted during the international sec	arch (name of data base and	, where practic	al, search terms us	ed)		
	data base com nternal	suited during dio Management						
C. DOCU	MENTS CONS	IDERED TO BE RELEVANT				Rei	evant to claim No.	
Category	Citation of	document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relavant	bassadas				-
P,A	NV)	02 23885 A (KONINK 21 March 2002 (20 ed in the applicat e 7, line 22 - lin	102-03-21/ tion	TRONICS		1		
A	EP	0 543 089 A (ACER May 1993 (1993-05 me 4, line 7 - lin	 INC) -26)			1		
A	US 23	5 539 479 A (BERT July 1996 (1996-0 Jumn 7, line 25 - Jumn 40, line 43 -	RAM RANDAL L) 7-23) line 26: figure	e 3 e 50				
	Swell of darking	nents are listed in the continualio	on of box C.	X Patent f	amily members are	listed in annex		
Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relavance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the International tiling date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority ctaim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citerion or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or			ister docume or priority did the did ound invention. document of cannot be cannot be involve an involve and document of cannot be document in ments, such the art.	nt published after I ate and not in confi erstand the princip particular relevant onsidered novel on ventive step when particular relevant considered to involve s combined with of h combination between	te or theory united to the chairmed to cannot be come in the document cas; the chairmed we an inventive as or more other up obvious to a	terlying the invention sidered to is taken alone linvention step when the result document.		
- 1	'P' document published prior to the International filling date but safe than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the International search Date of mailing of the				iling of the internal	tonal search re	iort	
Oate		gust 2003			08/2003			
Nam	ne and mailing Eu N	address of the ISA proper Palent Office. P.B. 5818 L - 2280 HV Pilswijk al. (+31-70) 340-2040. Tx. 31 65 ax: (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized Gun	officer			

1